

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. [FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/539,815		03/31/2000	Larry Phillips	MATP-587US 1591	
23122	7590	09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
RATNER		A T		NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ			2616	
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)							
Advisory Action	09/539,815	PHILLIPS ET AL.						
name of the second	Examiner	Art Unit						
	HUY T NGUYEN	2616						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a on in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leads on the control of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final O	n. See MPEP oriate extension priate extension office action; or					
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		oo NOTE below).						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	see NOTE below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in	•	wielle weede seine een eine	miliferium martinum					
issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been considerations.	dered but does NOT	place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered an w or appended.	id an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: 4 and 8-10.								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·						
10.⊠ Other: <u>attachment</u>								

Application/Control Number: 09/539,815

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Nakamura fails to teaches that the video PES and audio PES are separated from each other. In response, the examiner disagrees. It is noted that Nakamura at teaches that the packet of audio and packet of video area separated from each other since in the audio packet only audio information is stored and in the video packet only video information is stored (See column 27, line 20-35, column 28, line 1-25, column 31, lines 10-20).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Thai Tran, can be reached on (703) 305-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 09/539,815

Art Unit: 2616

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 3

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N

HUXNGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER